

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 JANUARY 1984

Remimeo
HRD Auditors
HRD C/Ses
Cramming
Officers
Ds of P
Tech
Qual

HAPPINESS RUNDOWN ADDITIVES

In a review of the Happiness Rundown issues I have found that the tech of the HRD, as originally developed and written by me in late 1980, had been covertly sabotaged. Numerous instances of suppressive technical alter-is have been found in the issues of the 1981 HRD Series. These writings were not seen by myself and were falsely and illegally issued over my name.

They are, every one of them, CANCELLED:

HCOB	24 Nov 80, formerly	HRD Series 1, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN
HCOB	14 Feb 81, formerly	HRD Series 2, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN, ADMINISTRATION AND DELIVERY
HCOB	16 Feb 81, formerly	HRD Series 3, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN COMMAND SHEETS
HCOB	24 Feb 81, formerly	HRD Series 4, HOW TO AUDIT THE HRD
HCOB	27 Feb 81, formerly	HRD Series 5, C/SING THE HAPPINESS RUNDOWN
HCOB	23 Jan 81, formerly	HRD Series 6, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN REPAIR LIST
HCOB	15 Mar 81, formerly	HRD Series 7, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AND NOTS PRE-OTS
HCOB	16 Mar 81, formerly	HRD Series 8, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN CASE HISTORIES
HCOB	9 Oct 81, formerly	HRD Series 8, HRD CRAMMING (originally was misnumbered)
HCO PL	2 Mar 81,	HUBBARD HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AUDITOR COURSE
HCO PL	3 Mar 81,	HUBBARD HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AUDITOR INTERNSHIP
HCO PL	4 Mar 81,	HUBBARD HAPPINESS RUNDOWN CASE SUPERVISOR COURSE
HCO PL	5 Mar 81,	HUBBARD HAPPINESS RUNDOWN CASE SUPERVISOR INTERNESHIP

A complete new HRD Series of HCOBs has been written and issued.

In this Bulletin are listed the major points of false data, technical perversion and alter-is that have been cancelled and deleted in restoring the HRD to standard tech. This information is published to inform auditors and C/Ses who were trained on the earlier, now-cancelled HRD issues of the false data that had been insidiously woven into them.

It is also of use to persons FESing the case folders of pcs audited on the HRD, prior to any needed clean up of their HRD auditing.

AUDITORS DON'T HAVE CASES

Policy is and always has been that auditors don't have cases. Yet it was spread about in issues that a student training on the HRD Auditor's Course MUST be audited on the RD, lest he be too restimulated to audit. It was even stated that a Pre-OT who was solo'ing on Solo NOTS could not be allowed to train part time as an HRD auditor, because he couldn't immediately receive the HRD (being in the middle of a major action).

This is purest nonsense.

The simplicity of the matter is: Auditors do not have cases. There is just no such thing as "my case is restimulated and therefore I cannot audit others." (Ref: Tape 6401C24, TRAINING AND DUPLICATION)

The HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AUDITOR COURSE, HCO PL 22 Jan 84 Issue I, gives the correct auditing requirements for certification. And they are requirements that the student prove his ability to audit standardly with the materials that he has learned. His case as a pc or PreOT has no part in it.

CLEAR

A statement made in one of the former HRD issues that some people were Cleared with HRD auditing was and is false. And the originator of this statement knew full well that it was untrue.

While some very spectacular releases have been attained as a result of auditing on the HRD, people are not Cleared with this rundown. Dianetics auditing on the standard processes of New Era Dianetics makes Clears, as does auditing on the materials of the Clearing Course. (Ref: HCOB 14 Dec 81, THE STATE OF CLEAR.)

ETHICS

The fact of being mid-HRD as a PC does not in any way justify or excuse out-ethics behaviour. However, the idea that someone's out-ethics actions could or should

be overlooked because he was on the HRD or that the RD would automatically take care of such was inserted in earlier issues, by a "C/S" no longer around. (Ref: HCOB 30 Oct 81 CANCELLED 7 Nov 82, C/S Series 114 "C/Sing For The Pc" CANCELLED, KSW Series 28 CANCELLED.)

The correct data on the relation between auditing and ethics is in HCOB 13 Oct 82, C/S Series 116, ETHICS AND THE C/S, and its referenced issues. However, the HRD is an auditing rundown, and it IS tech — and tech will not go in when ethics is out.

READING QUESTIONS

One does not run unreading auditing questions or items. One of the most blatantly destructive points of technical alter-is in the 1981 HRD materials was the idea that one should run every single question of the HRD procedure on every pc doing the RD, even if it were an unreading auditing question. This datum was accompanied with various "reasons" why it was so. But there were quite a number of auditors who wondered about it, since it is contrary to tech fundamentals. They were right of course.

Knocking metering out of use in the rundown was in actual fact an attempt to alter the basic tech of the HRD so as to prevent discovery of withholds on the part of the issuer. (Ref: HCO PL 19 Jan 79, A NEW TYPE OF CRIME)

The key rule to follow is: EVERY AUDITING QUESTION IN THE HAPPINESS RUNDOWN PROCEDURE IS CHECKED FOR READ BEFORE IT IS RUN, AND IF NOT READING IT IS NOT RUN AT THAT TIME. (REF: HCOB 23 JUN 80RA, CHECKING QUESTIONS ON GRADES PROCESSES)

The full correct procedure for handling the steps of the HRD procedure is outlined in HCOB 18 Jan 84, HRD Series 3, HOW TO AUDIT THE HRD and HCOB 19 Jan 84, HRD Series 4, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN COMMAND SHEETS.

ALTER-ISED AND ADDITIVE COMMANDS

A series of squirrel commands was invented and added to those I originally outlined for the HRD. These appeared in the earlier (now-cancelled) Happiness Rundown Command Sheets as questions 11 through 20 and were actually a re-run of questions already run on the pc.

Another invented step added to the original HRD procedure was step "7a" which asked "Have you thought of something you didn't tell me?". In addition to the fact that asking for missed withholds after a certain step on every single precept was an unnecessary additive to the HRD procedure, it also came under the false "rule" about not checking HRD questions for reads. This was no doubt very perplexing to the auditors and C/Ses who tried to wrap their wits around it. (See the section above on READING QUESTIONS.)

Asking for “something you didn’t tell me” is not one of the 1 through 10 steps of the HRD procedure as such, and it does not appear in the new command sheets. This however does NOT give the HRD auditor any license to miss withholds.

If any missed withhold symptom is present in the session THE AUDITOR MUST HANDLE IT BY PULLING THE MISSED WITHHOLD AND MUST USE HIS METER AND THE TOOLS OF LEVEL II TECHNOLOGY IN DOING SO. (Ref: HCOB 23 Aug 71, C/S Series 1, AUDITOR’S RIGHTS, and HCOB 3 May 62, ARC BREAKS — MISSED WITHHOLDS)

A number of the simple basic commands I originally laid out for the RD were altered and made complex and unclear. The False Data Stripping questions (5a through 5e) which are part of the basic HRD steps were incorrectly worded. On the questions designed to pick up others’ transgressions and the pc’s own transgressions against the precept (steps 6 and 7), the instructions given to the auditor were vague, and thus open to interpretation as to just how these steps were to be handled.

The correct commands are given in HCOB 19 Jan 84, HRD Series 4, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN COMMAND SHEETS.

The original simplicity and power of this rundown has been restored in the new HCOBs of the HRD Series.

END PHENOMENA

An additive was entered into my statement of the EP of the HRD. This was that the pc must “recover his basic personality”. While this phenomenon has occurred in many cases on various auditing levels and RDs, it is not necessary that a pc achieve it to be considered complete on the HRD. This phenomenon is not the EP of the HRD.

The EP of the HRD is as stated in HCOB 18 Jan 84, HRD Series 3, HOW TO AUDIT THE HRD.

SET UP

Another destructive false datum contained in these issues was that one need pay little if any heed to what sort of case shape the pc was in before starting him on the HRD. HRD Series 2 (before its recent rewriting) stated: “The rule regarding set up is: IF YOU CAN FLY THE PC’S RUDIMENTS, HE’S SET UP FOR THE HRD.”

The fact is that one sets up a pc for the HRD just as he would for any major action.

There are numerous tools at a C/S’s disposal for setting up a case and “fly all ruds” is only one of them. One cannot, for example, repair a pc with out lists by asking for an ARC Break! (Ref: HCOB 10 Jun 71 Issue I, C/S Series 44R, C/S RULES — PROGRAMMING FROM PREPARED LISTS and HCOB 15 Sep 71, C/S Series 60, THE WORST TANGLE)

The C/S and auditor do thorough studies of the case, get any needed repair of past auditing done, get the pc's ruds in and get whatever other standard set up actions are required on the case done. This is well covered in the C/S Series HCOBs.

TWO-WAY COMM

The idea was put forward that two way comm was supposed to be done robotically. This is patently false and contrary to basic tech.

The correct data on how to do 2WC is given in my HCOBs and tapes on the subject, including HCOB 21 Apr 70, 2 WAY COMM C/SES, HCOB 3 Jul 70, C/SING 2 WAY COMM and HCOB 17 Mar 74, TWC CHECKSHEETS — TWC, USING WRONG QUESTIONS.

C/S EVALUATION

A squirrel method for handling a bogged case was conjured up and put in one of the HCOBs, whereby the C/S wrote out evaluations of that pc's case, and ordered the auditor to show the pc the C/S's note and talk with him about it. This is not covered ANYWHERE in the tech of Scn auditing or C/Sing and is NOT to be done. (Fortunately for this planet, that "C/S" is no longer on the lines at all.)

The use of prepared lists and other standard repair actions and correct methods of getting data from a pc are covered in the C/S Series, particularly C/S Series 62, HCOB 27 Sep 71, KNOW BEFORE YOU GO.

OWN IDENTITY

Another invented "handling" included in the issue on C/Sing the HRD was the "Own Identity Handling". This involved the auditor switching to another series of commands on a pc who had run into a "special type of valence — the pc's own identity" in doing the valence separation steps. These steps were and are additives to the HRD. They are flagrantly out tech.

If the pc originates that a particular identity was "his own identity", the valence handling steps are simply continued as usual, until a valence separation occurs. To do otherwise is a gross Q&A with a pc origination and a violation of the integrity of the rundown. (Ref: HCOB 28 Sep 82, C/S Series 115, MIXING RUNDOWNS AND REPAIRS and HCOB 20 Apr 80, Q & A, THE REAL DEFINITION.)

It can also occur that a pc comes up with the item "me" in answer to question 8a of the HRD procedure ("Is there any specific person in your past who really transgressed against the precept, _____?"). The correct handling of this occurrence was flatly omitted from the earlier HRD issues. The handling now appears in HCOB 18 Jan 84, HRD Series 3, HOW TO AUDIT THE HRD.

The issues giving the tech on the valence separation steps of the rundown are HCOB 17 Jan 84, HRD Series 2, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN BASICS, HCOB 19 Jan 84, HRD Series 4, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN COMMAND SHEETS and HCOB 18 Jan 84, HRD Series 3, HOW TO AUDIT THE HRD.

CRAMMING

An off-beat “corrective action” was dreamed up that consisted of mixing cramming procedure with the HRD auditing steps, and this was issued as HCOB 9 Oct 81, HRD CRAMMING. This issue was never seen or approved by me and is C-A-N-C-E-L-L-E-D.

Cramming is cramming.

The HRD is the HRD.

Mixing the two together was serious out tech. (Ref: C/S Series 115, MIXING RUNDOWNS AND REPAIRS.)

A way to repair a person who has been messed up by this squirrel “HRD cramming” is given in HCOB 16 Jan 84, Issue II, Cramming Series 25, REPAIR OF PAST CRAMMING.

USE OF OCA TESTS

An HRD case histories issue (now cancelled) contained data to the effect that giving the pc OCA tests was a part of the rundown itself, and implied that the C/Sing of the HRD session to session was supposed to be based on these OCA results. While this is true for certain other auditing RDs, it is not part of standard HRD procedure, and this incorrect issue was yet another example of mixing rundowns (Ref: HCOB 28 Sep 82 C/S Series 115, MIXING RUNDOWNS AND REPAIRS).

The HRD is C/Sed and audited to its EP exactly and only as per the rewritten HRD HCOBs.

It is quite OK to order an OCA done after a pc completes the HRD. OCA tests are administered at periodic points in a pc's auditing and when ordered by the C/S, in accordance with the basic issues on testing. (Ref: HCOB 19 Dec 71, C/S Series 71, D OF P OPERATES BY OCAs, HCOB 24 Feb 72, C/S Series 71A, WORD CLEARING OCAs and HCOB 17 Jul 71R, C/S Series 51R, OUT OF VALENCE)

HRD AND NOTS PRE-OTS

The HCOB of 15 Mar 81, entitled HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AND NOTS PRE-OTS (now CANCELLED and replaced by HCOB 21 Jan 84 Issue III, HRD Series 7, same title) permitted interjecting the HRD into the middle of Audited NOTS (New OT V) and Solo NOTS (New OT VII) programs — and even recommended doing so. This

is in direct conflict with basic tech as given in HCOB 26 May 71R, C/S Series 38R, TRS COURSE AND AUDITING, MIXING MAJOR ACTIONS and HCOB 9 Jun 71 II, C/S Series 42, C/S RULES and other HCOBs.

The HRD is not to be run on someone incomplete on New OT V or New OT VII, or incomplete on any major action. It is out tech to do so.

The issue also stated that the HRD could be audited on New OT V or VII PreOTs by an auditor who was not fully trained and certified to audit NOTS techniques (an Advanced Courses Specialist, New Class IX). This is batty. Putting such a “rule” into practice would result in an auditor having to end the session to call in an ACS to handle the pc any time NOTS techniques were required — for example, any time the Pre-OT needed his ruds flown! This could lead to frequent changes of auditor, an Auditor’s Code break. (Further, an auditor not specifically trained in the tech of Audited NOTS would not have the technical know-how to accurately determine when NOTS techniques were needed on the case.) In other words, this additive really snarled up the lines.

The full and correct data on when New OT Vs and New OT VIIs may be audited on the Happiness Rundown, and by whom, is described in HCOB 21 Jan 84, Issue III, HRD Series 7, HAPPINESS RUNDOWN AND NOTS PRE OTS.

HRD MATERIALS AND PC FOLDERS

For reasons best known to the person who issued the orders, the HRD HCOBs in some orgs were labelled “confidential” and separate pc folders were made for pcs on the HRD — so that the pc not only had a regular pc folder, but additionally had another folder marked “HRD folder”.

This is false data. There were never any instructions from me that these materials were to be marked “confidential” or that a special pc folder was to be made.

The only separate series of folders that a case would have is the chronological series of Solo folders, containing the PreOT’s auditing sessions done on the Solo levels. (Ref: HCOB 15 Mar 70, DOUBLE FOLDER DANGER.)

COMPLEXITY

In addition to the original materials of the Happiness Rundown having been altered, and another’s squirrel inventions added to the issues, there is another important point here: What was in essence a very SIMPLE rundown was made highly COMPLEX.

This has now been handled with the restoration of the HRD materials to their original simplicity.

SUMMARY

It should be noted that despite the alter-is and additives that were entered into the rundown, the power and accuracy of the original HRD tech has proven itself in the tremendous results achieved on persons who have received it. And, it is a very simple matter to clean up any charge that may have been bypassed in earlier HRD auditing.

You see, those who would attempt to sabotage the tech never really succeed. They just think they do.

With the HRD streamlined up to its original simple form, it could be expected that the wins and successes from pcs receiving it (and the auditors and C/Ses delivering it) will be rolling in, in even greater avalanches. And that I look forward to!

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER

LRH:rw:iw

Copyright © 1984 L. Ron Hubbard. All Rights Reserved.